NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DETERMINATION

MDR Tracking Number: M2-03-1738-01

October 6, 2003

An independent review of the above-referenced case has been completed by a medical physician board certified in family practice. The appropriateness of setting and medical necessity of proposed or rendered services is determined by the application of medical screening criteria published by ___, or by the application of medical screening criteria and protocols formally established by practicing physicians. All available clinical information, the medical necessity guidelines and the special circumstances of said case was considered in making the determination.

The independent review determination and reasons for the determination, including the clinical basis for the determination, is as follows:

See Attached Physician Determination

___ hereby certifies that the reviewing physician is on Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Approved Doctor List (ADL). Additionally, said physician has certified that no known conflicts of interest exist between him and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for determination prior to referral to ___.

Notice of Independent Review Determination

CLINICAL HISTORY

The patient sustained a work-related injury on ___. A prescription for a muscle stimulator on 3/21/03 notes the patient had previously been treated with physical therapy and pain medications. Documentation of the initial injury and subsequent treatments were not enclosed. The request to purchase a muscle stimulator was denied and an appeal upheld the denial.

REQUESTED SERVICE(S)

Purchase of an interferential muscle stimulator.

DECISION

Uphold previous denial.

RATIONALE/BASIS FOR DECISION

The community standard and accepted guidelines for this type of device dictate its use as an adjunctive therapy in the acute phase of treatment. The Philadelphia Panel Study and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid guidelines are sources that support this view. The enclosed records reflect this patient has

become a chronic pain patient and would not be an appropriate candidate for this type of device. Also, the submitted records do not reflect objective measures of successful treatment with this device. Therefore, the prior denial is upheld.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **10** (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk
Texas Workers' Compensation Commission
P.O. Box 17787
Austin, Texas 78744

Or fax the request to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this decision must be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4(h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 7th day of October 2003.